MARKING GUIDE TOTAL MARKS: 70

GENERAL EDUCATION DIPLOMA ENGLISH LANGUAGE 'B' SEMESTER TWO, 2011/2012, FIRST SESSION

page 1 of 8

,			LISTEN	IING 1 (6 mks)		
1.	0	working	0	shopping		walking
2.	0	an unpaid bill		repairs	0	flood
3.	0	4 p.m.		8 p.m.	0	tomorrow morning
4.		man's boss	0	woman's sister	0	man's brother
5.	0	cancel the lunch		go to a restaurant	0	use bottled water
6.		make a phone call	0	send a text message	0	write an e-mail
Notes.	One	mark each. Responses	must be indi	cated <u>clearly</u> .		

LISTENING 2 (5 mks)

- 7. Japan
- 8. 8 years/ eight years/ from 1976 to 1984
- 9. earthquake
- 10. 2003
- 11. Sweden

Notes: 1) Grammatical mistakes (e.g. 'eight year', 'Japanese', earthquakes', etc.): These should be ignored, as long as it is clear that the substance of the answer is correct.

- 2) Genuine spelling mistakes i.e. when the student obviously knew what the word was and understood what it meant, but could not spell it correctly — should also be ignored, as long as ALL of the following criteria are met:
- (a) Certain key letters <u>must</u> be included: No. 7: <u>Jap(b)</u>a<u>n</u>, No. 9: <u>earthquake</u>, No. 11: <u>Sweden</u>.
- (b) In cases where letters are 'optional', the mis-spelling must be close to the correct spelling. (e.g. accept 'Sweeden'; but not 'Swaden'.)
- (c) Above all, the mis-spelt word(s) must be clearly and convincingly recognisable as a correct answer to the question.

As stated in the instructions, answers should consist of <u>not more than four words</u>. (<u>Note</u>: When counting the words, do <u>not</u> include any words provided by the exam-writers.) Longer answers will normally be marked wrong. HOWEVER, if a student has written one (or even two) extra words and the answer is <u>convincing</u> and <u>clearly correct</u>, common sense should be applied and marks awarded, on a case-by-case basis.

CUE WILL COMPANY OF THE PAGE O

Diploma (ELB), Sem. 2, 2011/12, 1st Session: Marking Guide

page 2 of 8

			LISTENI	NG 3 (4 mks)		
	working in a factory	congratulating someone	working in a lab	visiting a sick person	editing an article	raising money
12.	0	0	0	0		0
13.	0	0	(1)	0	0	0
14.	0	0	0	0	0	
15.	0		0	0	0	0
Note	s: One mark	each. Responses	must be indica	ated <u>clearly</u> .		

		WATER AND	VO	CABULARY	1 (2.5 mks)			
	borrowed	collected	escaped	inspired	published	selected	sold	wasted
1.	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
3.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4.	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
5.	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
Note	es: Half-a-ma	ark each. Res	sponses mus	t be indicate	d <u>clearly</u> .			

	VOCAB 2 (2.5 mks)	
6.	wi <u>se</u>	
7.	le <u>nd</u>	
8.	ga <u>in</u>	
9.	bel <u>ong</u>	
10.	conf <u>ident</u>	
Note	s: Half-a-mark each. Spelling must be completely correct.	

المان المان

Diploma (ELB), Sem. 2, 2011/12, 1st Session: Marking Guid

page 3 of 8

				GRAMMAR	1 (2.5)	mks)		
1.	0	that	0	then		where	0	which
2.	0	nowhere	0	everywhere		anywhere	0	somewhere
3.		for ·	0	until .	0	since ·	0	in .
4.	0	Did		Has	0	Will	0	Was
5.		was blocked	0	is blocking	0	was blocking	0	is blocked

	GRAMMAR 2 (2.5 mks)							
6.	did							
7.	а							
8.	VVill							
9.	to							
10.	Would							
Note	es: Half-a-mar	rk each. Spellin	ng <u>must</u> be correct, but ignore lower/upper	case.				

	READING 1 (7 mks)								
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	H	1
1.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
3.	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
4.	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
5.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
Note	s: One ma	ark each. R	esponses n	ust be indi	cated <u>clearl</u> y	<u>L</u>			

Diploma (ELB), Sem. 2, 2011/12, 1st Session: Marking

page 4 of 8

mostal -			READ	ING 2 (6 mks)		
8.	(3)	doctor	0	sportsman	0	journalist
9.	0	television		newspapers	0	books
10.	0	football		rugby	0	cycling
11.	0	lose his skill	•	be injured	0	waste his money
12.		33	0	35	0	37
13.	0	unemployed	0	a football coach		a businessman

			REAL	DING 3 (12 mks)				
14.	5/ fi	ive years / from 1698 to 1703						
15.	non	none/ nobody/ no one/ zero/						
16.	(in t	(in the) lighthouse						
17.	1888							
18.	0	on the English coast		in the open sea	0	on the French coast		
19.	0	the government		Winstanley himself	0	shipowners		
20.	0	Winstanley became very ill						
	0	his workers were not helpful						
		the weather was terrible						
21.	0	wood	0	stone	9	wood & stone		
Notes:	One	-and-a-half marks each.			-			

mistakes (e.g. 'five year') should be ignored.

2) Complete accuracy in spelling is not required, but any mis-spelt word(s) must be clearly and convincingly recognisable as a correct answer to the question.

3) As stated in the instructions, answers should consist of not more than four words. (Note: When counting the words, do not include any words provided by the exam-writers.) Longer answers will normally be marked wrong, especially if they are simply copied from the text. HOWEVER, if a student has written one (or even two) extra words and the answer is convincing and clearly correct, common sense should be applied and marks awarded, on a case-by-case basis.

Qs 18-21: Responses must be indicated clearly.

Diploma (ELB), Sem. 2, 2011/12, 1st Session: Marking Guide

page 5 of 8

WRITING (GENERAL NOTES)

- The wording of the descriptors in the relevant Rating Scale should form the basis of all decisions (and discussions) on the marks to be awarded.
- There may well be different individual ways of approaching tasks or of interpreting informationpoints, but a basic requirement for all answers is that they are relevant. If answers are clearly not
 relevant and the student has clearly not attempted the task that was set, no marks should be
 awarded.
- However, if a student has genuinely attempted the task, but their answer is only partly relevant, then a reduced mark (not zero) should be awarded.
- SEE ALSO: 'ARRIVING AT FINAL SCORES' on page 8 of this Marking Guide.

	WRITING 1 (4 mks)
4	 Presents relevant information fully, clearly, and in an interesting way. Writing is well-organised and coherent. Only minor language errors.
3	- Presents a good quantity of relevant information clearly enough Language is mostly correct, but writing sometimes lacks coherence.
2	- Manages to present only a limited amount of information; important points are missing or unclear Language contains frequent errors, some of which obscure meaning.
1	- A <u>very</u> feeble attempt at the task. Very little relevant content Language used is extremely limited and/or seriously distorted.
0	No attempt at the task: EITHER Irrelevant. (Completely unrelated to the topic/ information provided) OR Hardly any writing at all, or not written in English. OR Complete nonsense.

Diploma (ELB), Sem. 2, 2011/12, 1st Session: Marking Guide

page 6 of 8

WRITING 2 (6 mks)

Important Note: This 'Situational Writing' task will be marked using ONE of the following four Rating Scales, depending on the type of Writing involved: Narrative, Evaluative, Informative or Interactive.

For	r this particular paper, the exam-writers have chosen an EVALUATIVE task.
	EVALUATIVE
6	 Discusses the topic in a lively, interesting way, making very effective use of supporting arguments. Writing is well-organised and clear. Few language errors.
5	 Discusses the topic well enough, but use of supporting arguments is not fully effective. Language is mostly correct, despite some noticeable errors.
4	 Discusses the topic and expresses opinions, but use of supporting arguments is sometimes unclear or unconvincing. Language is reasonably correct, but writing sometimes lacks coherence.
3	- Manages some discussion of the topic, but only in a limited way Language contains frequent errors, some of which obscure meaning.
2	- Makes an attempt to discuss the topic, but the result is confusing and clearly inadequate Language used is very limited and/or contains many serious errors.
1	- A <u>very</u> feeble attempt to discuss the topic. Very little relevant content Language used is extremely limited and/or seriously distorted.
0	No attempt at the task: <u>EITHER</u> Irrelevant. (Not related to the topic or task.) OR Hardly any writing at all, or not written in English. <u>OR</u> Complete nonsense.

Diploma (ELB), Sem. 2, 2011/12, 1st Session: Marking Guide

page 7 of 8

	WRITING 3 (10 mks)
10	 Impact on intended reader is very positive. Writing clearly succeeds in achieving its purpose. Uses language which is appropriate to the reader and context. A fair range of grammatical structures and vocabulary, with a good level of accuracy.
8	- Impact on intended reader is <u>fairly positive</u> . - Writing has reasonable success in achieving its purpose. - There are clear attempts to use language appropriate to the reader and context. - Grammar and vocabulary are reasonably correct, though limited in range.
6	- Impact on intended reader is <u>mixed</u> . - Writing has partially achieved its main purpose, but: - Some of the language used is inappropriate to the reader and context. - There is a noticeable lack of accuracy in the use of grammar and vocabulary.
4	 Impact on intended reader is <u>rather negative</u>. Writing only has very limited success in achieving its purpose. There is little evidence of any attempt to use appropriate language. Grammar and vocabulary contain frequent serious errors.
2	 Impact on intended reader is very negative. Writing clearly fails to achieve its intended purpose. There is little evidence of any attempt to use appropriate language. The grammar and vocabulary used is extremely limited and/or seriously distorted.
0	No attempt at the task: EITHER Irrelevant. (Completely unrelated to the task) OR Hardly any writing at all, or not written in English. OR Complete nonsense.

Note 1: The task is to write an e-mail, so students <u>must</u> include a greeting at the start <u>and</u> a closing at the end. If they do not, they will lose marks. <u>PROCEDURE</u>: Each marker marks the <u>content</u> of the e-mail according to the Rating Scale — then, if either the greeting or the closing are <u>missing</u>, deduct two marks from the content-score.

Note 2: No marks should be awarded or deducted for the address. Any addresses should be ignored.

وَلَوْنَ وَلَاثِ الْمُعَالَى وَلِمُوفَ لِلْمُعَالَى وَلِمُوفَ لِلْمُعَالَى وَلِمُوفَ لِلْمُعَالَى وَلَمُوفَ لِلْمُعَالَى وَلَّوْفَ لِلْمُعَالَى وَلِمُوفَ لِلْمُعَالَى وَلِمُوفَ لِلْمُعَالَى وَلِمُوفَ لِلْمُعَالَى وَلِمُوفَ لِلْمُعَالَى وَلِمُوفَ لِلْمُعَالَى وَلِمُوفَالِمُ وَلِمُعَالَى وَلِمُوفَالِمُ وَلِمُعَالَى وَلِمُ وَلِمُعَالَى وَلِمُعَالَى وَلِمُوفَالِمُ وَلِمُعَالَى وَلِمُ وَلِمُعَالَى وَلِمُ فِي إِنْ مُؤْمِلِ وَالْمُعِلَّى وَالْمُعِلَّى وَالْمُعِلَّى وَالْمِنْ فِي مُعِلِّمُ وَلِمُ وَلِمِنْ مِنْ إِلَّامِ لِمُعِلِّمُ وَلِمُ وَلِمُ وَلِمُ وَلِمُ وَلِمُ لِمُوالِمُ وَلِمُ وَلِمِ وَلِمُ لِمُولِمُ وَلِمُ وَلِمُ وَلِمُ وَلِمُ وَلِمُ وَلِمُ وَلِمُ وَلِمُ لِمُ لِمِنْ فِي مِن

Diploma (ELB), Sem. 2, 2011/12, 1st Session: Marking Guide

page 8 of 8

ARRIVING AT FINAL SCORES

LST/ VCB/ GRM/ RDG: In these four sections, all student responses are of the objectively-marked, right-or-wrong type. So there should never be any discrepancies in the marks awarded.

There are two different procedures for ensuring that no such discrepancies occur:

- No action required: With (machine-marked) 'multiple choice' items, discrepancies are automatically excluded by the ePen marking system.
- 2) Action required: With 'short answer' items, there may be (accidental) discrepancies in the scores awarded by the two markers. The Supervisor/Adjudicator should be on the lookout for any such discrepancies, and if they occur, should read the students' response and award the correct mark.

WRITING: In this section, student responses are independently marked by two markers using their judgement (based on the wording of the Rating Scale provided). As a result, differences may sometimes occur between the two scores awarded.

In such cases, there are two possible procedures:

- Acceptable differences: If as in most cases the difference between the two scores is small, i.e. just one level, the Supervisor/Adjudicator is not required to read the student's response. He/She should simply use the mathematical average of the two scores.(*See below)
- Unacceptable differences: However, if the difference between the two scores is substantial, i.e. more than one level, the Supervisor/Adjudicator should read the student's response and, after due consideration, decide on an appropriate mark.

CALCULATION OF AVERAGES:

WRT 1		WRT 2		WRT3	
Pair of scores	Final score	Pair of scores	Final score	Pair of scores	Final score
4/3	3½	6/5	51/2	10/8	9
3/2	21/2	5/4	41/2	8/6	7
2/1	11/2	4/3	31/2	6/4	5
1/0	1/2	3/2	21/2	4/2	3
111111111111111111111111111111111111111		2/1	11/2	2/0	1
##########		1/0	1/2	###########	

IMPORTANT NOTE: As in previous years, *individual* markers should *only* award the marks specified in the relevant Rating Scale* (as in 'pair of scores' above). 'Half-marks' or 'in-between marks' (as in 'final score' above) can *only* be awarded when two (differing) individual marks are combined by the Supervisor/ Adjudicator.

[* Note: This means that, even though the computer screen shows all the possible final scores, individual markers should only use those scores which are mentioned in the scale.]