



READING 1 (8 mks)

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
1.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
2.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
4.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
5.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
6.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
7.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
8.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Notes: One mark each. Responses must be indicated clearly.

READING 2 (12 mks)

9.	<input type="radio"/> mid-winter	<input checked="" type="radio"/> early spring	<input type="radio"/> late summer
10.	<input checked="" type="radio"/> a week	<input type="radio"/> two weeks	<input type="radio"/> a month
11.	<input type="radio"/> pick flowers from the	<input type="radio"/> plant new cherry	<input checked="" type="radio"/> sit together under the
12.	<input type="radio"/> international	<input checked="" type="radio"/> local	<input type="radio"/> national
13.	<input checked="" type="radio"/> dress	<input type="radio"/> music	<input type="radio"/> food
14.	<input type="radio"/> giving presents	<input type="radio"/> saying prayers	<input checked="" type="radio"/> taking photos

Notes: Two marks each. Responses must be indicated clearly.



WRITING (GENERAL NOTES)

- **The wording of the descriptors in the relevant Rating Scale should form the basis of all decisions (and discussions) on the marks to be awarded.**
- **There may well be different individual ways of approaching a task or interpreting a picture (or set of pictures), but a basic requirement for all answers is that they are *relevant*.**
- **If answers are *clearly not relevant* and the student has clearly not attempted the task that was set, *no marks* should be awarded.**
- **However, if a student has *genuinely attempted the task*, but their answer is only *partly relevant*, then a *reduced mark* (not zero) should be awarded.**
- **SEE ALSO: 'ARRIVING AT FINAL SCORES' on page 6 of this Marking Guide.**

WRITING 1 (10 mks)

10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Discusses the topic in a lively, interesting way, making effective use of supporting arguments. – The points made by the writer are logically organised and very clear. – Makes use of a fair range of structures and vocabulary, with a good level of accuracy.
8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Discusses the topic reasonably well, but use of supporting arguments is not fully effective. – The points made by the writer are reasonably well organized and mostly clear. – Use of grammar and vocabulary is reasonably correct, though rather limited in range.
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Expresses opinions with some use of supporting arguments, but only in a limited way. – The writer makes an attempt to organise his/her points, but this is only partly effective. – There is a noticeable lack of accuracy in the use of grammar and vocabulary.
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Makes an attempt to discuss the topic, but the result is unconvincing and clearly inadequate. – Weak organization makes it difficult to follow the points being made by the writer. – Grammar and vocabulary contain frequent serious errors.
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – A <u>very</u> feeble attempt to discuss the topic. Very little relevant content. – The points made by the writer are confused and disjointed. – The language used is extremely limited and/or seriously distorted.
0	<p><u>No attempt at the task</u>: <u>EITHER</u> Irrelevant. (Completely unrelated to the topic) <u>OR</u> Hardly any writing at all, or not written in English. <u>OR</u> Complete nonsense.</p>



WRITING 2 (10 mks) (NEWS REPORT)

10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Report is complete, clear and mostly correct. - Organisation and style are very appropriate for a news story.
8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Report conveys the information with reasonable coherence, but with some noticeable language errors. - Organisation and style are mostly appropriate for a news story.
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Report manages to convey most of the information, but there are several language errors and some problems with coherence. - Organisation and style are sometimes inappropriate for a news story.
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some important information is missing or unclear. There are several serious language errors and writing obviously lacks coherence. - Organisation and style are often inappropriate for a news story.
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Report conveys very little information; language is very limited, disjointed and seriously flawed. - Overall, a poor attempt at writing a news story.
0	<p><u>No attempt at the task</u>: <u>EITHER</u> Irrelevant. (Not related to the topic or information provided) <u>OR</u> Hardly any writing at all, or not written in English. <u>OR</u> Complete nonsense.</p>

[NOTE: For this particular exam paper, the News Report option was chosen by the examiners, rather than the Assessment Report option.]



WRITING 3 (15 mks)

15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Impact on intended reader is <u>very positive</u>. – Writing clearly succeeds in achieving its purpose. – Uses language which is appropriate to the reader and context. – A fair range of structures and vocabulary, with a good level of accuracy.
12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Impact on intended reader is <u>fairly positive</u>. – Writing has reasonable success in achieving its purpose. – There are clear attempts to use language appropriate to the reader and context. – Grammar and vocabulary are reasonably correct, though limited in range.
9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Impact on intended reader is <u>mixed</u>. – Writing has partially achieved its main purpose, but: – Some of the language used is inappropriate to the reader and context. – There is a noticeable lack of accuracy in the use of grammar and vocabulary.
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Impact on intended reader is <u>rather negative</u>. – Writing only has very limited success in achieving its purpose. – There is little evidence of any attempt to use appropriate language. – Grammar/Vocabulary contain frequent serious errors.
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Impact on intended reader is <u>very negative</u>. – Writing clearly fails to achieve its intended purpose. – There is little evidence of any attempt to use appropriate language. – The language used is extremely limited and/or seriously distorted.
0	<p><u>No attempt at the task</u>: EITHER Irrelevant. (Completely unrelated to the task/instructions) OR Hardly any writing at all, or not written in English. OR Complete nonsense.</p>

Note 1: The task is to write an *e-mail*, so students must include a greeting at the start and a closing at the end. If they do not, they will lose marks. **PROCEDURE:** Each marker marks the content of the e-mail according to the Rating Scale — then, if either the greeting or the closing are missing, **deduct three marks** from the content-score.

Note 2: No marks should be awarded or deducted for the address. Any addresses should be ignored.



WRITING 4 (15 mks)

15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tells the story fully and clearly, in a lively, interesting way, providing appropriate details. - The text is coherent and easy to read. Not many language errors.
12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tells the story clearly enough, but writing lacks interest for the reader. - There are several noticeable language errors and the text sometimes lacks coherence.
9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Manages to convey the main outline of the story, but only in a limited way. - Language used is limited in range and/or contains quite frequent errors.
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - An attempt is made to tell the story, but important points are either missing or unclear. - Language used is very limited and/or contains many serious errors.
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A <u>very</u> feeble attempt to tell the story. Very little relevant content. - Language used is extremely limited and/or seriously distorted.
0	<p><i>No attempt at the task:</i> <u>EITHER</u> Irrelevant. (Completely unrelated to the pictures) <u>OR</u> Hardly any writing at all, or not written in English. <u>OR</u> Complete nonsense.</p>



ARRIVING AT FINAL SCORES

READING: In this section, all student responses are of the objectively-marked, right-or-wrong type. So there should never be any discrepancies in the marks awarded. In both RDG 1 (Matching) and RDG 2 (Multiple Choice), discrepancies are automatically excluded by the ePen marking system.

WRITING: In all four tasks, student responses are independently marked by two markers using their judgement, according to the wording of the Rating Scales provided. As a result, differences may sometimes occur between the two scores awarded.

In such cases, there are two possible procedures:

- 1) Acceptable differences: If – as in most cases – the difference between the two scores is small, i.e. just **one level**, the Supervisor/Adjudicator is not required to read the student's response. He/She should simply use the mathematical average of the two scores. (*See below)
- 2) Unacceptable differences: However, if the difference between the two scores is substantial, i.e. **more than one level**, the Supervisor/Adjudicator should read the student's response and, after due consideration, decide on an appropriate mark.

*** CALCULATION OF AVERAGES:**

WRT 1		WRT 2		WRT 3		WRT 4	
Pair of scores	Final score	Pair of scores	Final score	Pair of scores	Final score	Pair of scores	Final score
10 / 8	9	10 / 8	9	15 / 12	13½	15 / 12	13½
8 / 6	7	8 / 6	7	12 / 9	10½	12 / 9	10½
6 / 4	5	6 / 4	5	9 / 6	7½	9 / 6	7½
4 / 2	3	4 / 2	3	6 / 3	4½	6 / 3	4½
2 / 0	1	2 / 0	1	3 / 0	1½	3 / 0	1½

IMPORTANT NOTE: As in previous years, **individual** markers should **only** award the marks specified in the relevant Rating Scale* (as in 'pair of scores' above). 'Half-marks' or 'in-between marks' (as in 'final score' above) can **only** be awarded when two (differing) individual marks are combined by the Supervisor/ Adjudicator (see 'Acceptable differences').

[* Note: This means that, even though the computer screen shows all the possible final scores, **individual** markers should **only** use those scores which are mentioned in the scale.]